## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
A3232.W0218			(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	year)				
PCT/IB2005/000480	18/02/2005		19/02/2004			
Applicant						
AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACC	CHINE AUTOMATICHE					
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Sear ansmitted to the International Bureau	ching Auth	nority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report consists	of a total of she	ets.				
	a copy of each prior art document c		report.			
Basis of the report     a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, units to the language.	international search was carried out less otherwise indicated under this it	on the bas	sis of the international application in the			
The international this Authority (Ru		of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to			
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were fou	2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).					
3. Unity of invention is lac	3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III).					
4. With regard to the title,						
_	The state of the s					
1 4-7	shed by this Authority to read as follo	ws:				
1						
5. With regard to the abstract,	•					
X   the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.						
the text has been establi	shed, according to Bule 38.2(b), by	his Author tional sea	rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant rch report, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. With regard to the drawings,						
a. the figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is Figure	No. <u>2</u>				
as suggested by						
	nis Authority, because the applicant (					
	nis Authority, because this figure bet	er charact	terizes the invention.			
b. none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.					

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 865D5/36 865D5/66

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)} \\ \mbox{IPC} & 7 & B65D \end{array}$ 

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

#### EPO-Internal

ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to daim No
US 2 594 394 A (CASSELMAN ROBERT C ET AL) 29 April 1952 (1952-04-29) the whole document	1-15
EP 0 844 187 A (SMURFIT-SOCAR) 27 May 1998 (1998-05-27) the whole document	1-15
EP 0 872 426 A (SMURFIT-SOCAR) 21 October 1998 (1998-10-21) the whole document	1-15
US 2 631 772 A (HIERSTEINER WALTER) 17 March 1953 (1953-03-17) the whole document	1-15
	US 2 594 394 A (CASSELMAN ROBERT C ET AL) 29 April 1952 (1952-04-29) the whole document  EP 0 844 187 A (SMURFIT-SOCAR) 27 May 1998 (1998-05-27) the whole document  EP 0 872 426 A (SMURFIT-SOCAR) 21 October 1998 (1998-10-21) the whole document  US 2 631 772 A (HIERSTEINER WALTER) 17 March 1953 (1953-03-17) the whole document

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
Special categories of cited documents:  'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date  'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	<ul> <li>'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</li> <li>'&amp;' document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
31 May 2005	20/06/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Pernice, C

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB2005/000480

(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Relevant to claim No.
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Preievani to Claim No.
A	DE 201 13 474 U1 (FRAENKISCHE HARTPAPIERWAREN GMBH) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23) the whole document	1-15

(

4

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/IB2005/000480

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
US 2594394	Α	29-04-1952	NONE			
EP 0844187	A	27-05-1998	FR DE DE EP ES PT	2756260 A1 69713029 D1 69713029 T2 0844187 A1 2176646 T3 844187 T	29-05-1998 11-07-2002 03-07-2003 27-05-1998 01-12-2002 31-10-2002	
EP 0872426	Α	21-10-1998	EP DE DE ES PT	0872426 A1 69724096 D1 69724096 T2 2203766 T3 872426 T	21-10-1998 18-09-2003 19-05-2004 16-04-2004 31-12-2003	
US 2631772	Α	17-03-1953	NONE			
DE 20113474	U1	23-01-2003	NONE	<del></del>		

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 19.02.2004 PCT/IB2005/000480 18.02.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65D5/36, B65D5/66 Applicant AZIONARIA COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE AUTOMATICHE ... This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. オヹ. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Pernice, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3084

# 10/590173

# IAPS NGC'S PCT/PTO 18 AUG 2006 International application No. PCT/IB2005/000480

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

_	Вох	N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With nec	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:						
	[		a sequence listing				
			table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	[		in written format				
	[		in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	(		contained in the international application as filed.				
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	Add	ditio	nal comments:				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-15

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-15

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents: D1: US 2 594 394 A (CASSELMAN ROBERT C ET AL) 29 April 1952 (1952-04-29)
- Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a folded blank similar to the one defined in claim 1. From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that lateral panels (11, 10) overlap and cover the preferential fold line (25).
- 2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

  The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as adding mechanical strength or rigidity to the fold line (25). Additionally, the present invention has the advantage of enabling a blank with non-rectilinear edges to be processed by a carton forming machine.
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since none of the available prior art suggests such a blank folding arrangement.
- 2.3 Claims 2-13 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a method for preparing a folded blank similar to that defined in claim 14. From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 14 differs in that:
  - each lateral panel will overlap a corresponding preferential fold line;
  - fastening the second outer portion to the other part.
- 3.1 The subject-matter of claim 14 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

  The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as enabling a blank with non-rectilinear edges to be processed by a carton forming machine.
- 3.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 14 of the present application is

- considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since none of the available prior art suggests such a blank folding arrangement.
- 3.3 Claim 15 is dependent on claim 14 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.